## Analysis of feedback by Parents

Comprehensive feedbacks by parents are important tools to critically evaluate the teaching process from parent's angle. And, they truly contribute to the overall spirit of teaching in an educational institution. As, it promotes the overall spirit of teaching and learning.

The Fifteen factors/Questions constitute each questionnaire and their analysis is as follows:

1. Education Quality

## Education Quality



| Education Quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| Valid | excellent | 61 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 |  |
|  | very good | 31 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 92.0 |  |
|  | good | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 98.0 |  |
|  | average | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

2. Quality \& Relevance of Course

Quality \& Relevance of Course


| Quality \& Relevance of Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| Valid | excellent | 61 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 |  |
|  | very good | 32 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 93.0 |  |
|  | good | 5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 98.0 |  |
|  | average | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 3. Opinion Extra-curricular Activities

Opinion Extra curricular activities


Opinion Extra-curricular activities

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | excellent | 81 | 81.0 | 81.0 | 81.0 |
|  | very good | 18 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 99.0 |
|  | average | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## 4. Opinion Subject Faculty

Opinion Subject Faculty


| Opinion Subject Faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| alid | excellent | 67 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 |  |
|  | very good | 33 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 5. Opinion Department Staff

Opinion Departtment staff


| Opinion Department staff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Valid | excellent | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
|  | very good | 19 | 74.0 | 74.0 | 74.0 |  |
|  | good | 6 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 93.0 |  |
|  | average | 1 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 99.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |  |

## 6. Employability of Ward



| Employability of Ward |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| Valid | excellent | 62 | 62.0 | 62.0 | 62.0 |  |
|  | very good | 34 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 96.0 |  |
|  | good | 4 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 7. Academic Growth of Ward

Academic growth of ward


| Academic growth of ward |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | excellent | 63 | 63.0 | 63.0 | Cumulative Percent |
|  | very good | 34 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 93.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | good | 3 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 8. Communication Skill of Ward

communication skill of ward


| Communication Skill of Ward |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Valid | excellent | 75 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 |  |
|  | very good | 25 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 9. Ward Consciousness about Environment

ward consciousness about environment


| Ward Consciousness About Environment |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | excellent | 75 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 |
|  | very good | 22 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 97.0 |
|  | good | 3 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## 10. Library Facilities

## Library facilities



| Library facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| Valid | excellent | 64 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 |  |
|  | very good | 22 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 86.0 |  |
|  | good | 14 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

## 11. Sports Facilities

## Sports facilities



| Sports facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
|  | excellent | 64 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 |  |
|  | very good | 33 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 97.0 |  |
|  | good | 3 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

12. Student Engagement with Real Life Situation
student engagement with real life situation


| Student Engagement With Real Life Situation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | excellent | 65 | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 |
|  | very good | 24 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 89.0 |
|  | good | 11 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

13. College Atmosphere
college atmosphere


| College Atmosphere |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | excellent | 68 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 |
|  | very good | 31 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 99.0 |
|  | good | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## 14. Exposure of Ward

exposure of ward


| Exposure Of Ward |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Valid | excellent | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|  | very good | 26 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 |
|  | good | 1 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 99.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |

## 15. Approach for Authorities

approach for authorities


| Approach For Authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| Valid | excellent | 67 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 |  |
|  | very good | 31 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 98.0 |  |
|  | good | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Summary of the overall Analysis

The above results of Parent feedback form were calculated for the session 2016-2017 for all the departments in the college. And, the sample of 100 Parents was taken into consideration. The parent's
opinion in feedback was very positive. The majority of parents were positive about the education quality, Quality and relevance of the course, extra-curricular activities, subject-faculty and department staff in the college. With regard to their ward, the majority of parents were affirmative about the employability, academic growth, communication skill, consciousness towards environment, student engagement and exposure of their ward. Also, the opinion of majority of parents was optimistic about infrastructure in college such as library facilities, sports facilities, college atmosphere and approach towards authorities.

## Analysis of feedback by students

Comprehensive feedbacks by students are important tools to critically evaluate the teaching process from student's angle. And, they truly contribute to the overall spirit of teaching in a educational institution. As, it promotes the overall spirit of teaching and learning.

The eighteen factors/Questions constitute each questionnaire and their analysis is as follows:

## 1. Course Content:

| Course Content |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | covered in one semester | 76 | 76.0 | 76.0 | 76.0 |
|  | adequately covered in one semester | 11 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 87.0 |
|  | Not enough for one semester | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 93.0 |
|  | difficult to comment | 7 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |



## 2. Relevance of Course:

| Relevance of Course |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
|  | very relevant | 77 | 77.0 | 77.0 | 77.0 |
|  | Reasonably relevant | 11 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 88.0 |
|  | Not at all relevant | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 94.0 |
|  | difficult to comment | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 100.0 |


| Relevance of Course |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|  | very relevant | 77 | 77.0 | 77.0 | 77.0 |
|  | Reasonably relevant | 11 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 88.0 |
|  | Not at all relevant | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 94.0 |
|  | difficult to comment | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## Relevance of course



## 3. Overlap With Other Courses:

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Valid | no overlap | 78 | 78.0 | 78.0 | 78.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Some overlap | 11 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 89.0 |
| Repetition of severl topics | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 95.0 |  |
| difficult to comment | 5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Overlap with other courses



## 4. Recommended Reading:

| Recommended Reading |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |


| Valid | Adequate and Relevant | 78 | 78.0 | 78.0 | 78.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | to some extent adequate and relevant | 11 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 89.0 |
|  | Mostly adequate | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 95.0 |
| cannot comment | 5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

recommended Reading

5. Mid Term and class tests:

| Mid Term and Class Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Pumulative <br> Percent |  |


| Valid |  <br> Satisfactory | 88 | 88.0 | 88.0 | 88.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | In an unsatisfactory manner | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 90.0 |
|  | But were inadequate | 10 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Mid Term and class tests

6. Midterm level:

| Midterm level |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |


| Valid | difficult | 5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Balanced | 89 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 94.0 |
|  | out of syllabus | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Midtermlevel

7. Teacher Syllabus on Time:

| Teacher Syllabus on Time |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |


| Valid | strongly disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | disagree | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 8.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 |
| agree | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 11.0 |
| strongly agree | 89 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

TeacherSyllabus on Time


## 8. Teacher Subject Knowledge:

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  | disagree | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 |
|  | agree | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 11.0 |
|  | strongly agree | 89 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## 9. Teacher Communication:

| Teacher Communication |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| Valid | Strongly disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
|  | disagree | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
|  | neither agree or disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 |  |
|  | Agree | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 11.0 |  |
|  | Strongly agree | 89 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Teachercommunication


10. Teacher Punctuality:

| Teacher Punctuality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
|  | disagree | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
|  | neither agree or disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 |  |
|  | agree | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 11.0 |  |
|  | strongly agree | 89 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Teacherpunctuality



## 11. Teacher preparedness:

| Teacher Preparedness |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| Valid | Strongly disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
|  | disagree | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
|  | neither agree or disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 |  |
|  | agree | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 11.0 |  |
|  | strongly agree | 89 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Teacher preparedness


12. Teacher Encouragement:

| Teacher Encouragement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  | disagree | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 |
|  | agree | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 11.0 |
|  | strongly agree | 89 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## Teacher Encouragement



## 13. Teaching Aids:

| Teaching Aids |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Valid Frequency Percent Valid PercentPumulative <br>  | strongly disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |
|  | disagree | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |  |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 |  |  |
|  | agree | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 11.0 |  |  |
|  | strongly disagree | 89 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

## Teaching Aids


14. Teacher Attitude:

| Teacher Attitude |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
|  | disagree | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
|  | neither agree or disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 |  |
|  | agree | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 11.0 |  |
|  | strongly agree | 89 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Teacher Attitude


15. Teacher Availability:

| Teacher Availability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |  |
|  | strongly disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
|  | disagree | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |  |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 |  |
|  | agree | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 11.0 |  |
|  | strongly agree | 89 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Teacher Availability



## 16. Teacher Evaluation:

| Teacher Evaluation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  | disagree | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 |
|  | agree | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 11.0 |
|  | strongly agree | 89 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 |


| Teacher Evaluation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| Valid | strongly disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  | disagree | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |
|  | neither agree nor disagree | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 |
|  | agree | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 11.0 |
|  | strongly agree | 89 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## Teacher Evaluation



## 17. Curriculum Improvement:

|   Frequency Percent Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Parcent |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | summer training | 12 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
|  | industrial training part of course | 13 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 26.0 |
|  | 18 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 39.0 |  |
| modern technology in <br> laboratory | 15 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 57.0 |  |
| introduction of software's for |  |  |  |  |  |
| practical learning | 17 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 72.0 |  |
| revision of course content | 6 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 89.0 |  |
| provision of smart classrooms | 5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 95.0 |  |
| Total | 100 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 |  |



## 18. Suggestion For Teachers:



## Evaluation of the Student's Feedback

The above results of Student feedback form were calculated for the session 2016-2017 for all the departments in the college. And, the sample of 100 students randomly analyzed from the 1000 feedback forms filled in the college. The criterion taken for choosing the students was that those students were selected, whose attendance was above $75 \%$ and who appeared for mid-term examinations. From the 1000 feedback, only 100 questionnaires randomly were selected (every $10^{\text {th }}$ form). This methodology was adopted, so that the tool could not be used as a tool of fault finding of teachers. Rather, the emphasis was given to teaching methodology, assessment practice, support systems and improvement in the course content. Also, the scope of infrastructure was seen from the perspective of the students.

The overall contentment level of the students was satisfactory with regard to the course covered in each semester and with relevance of the course. The majority of the students (78\%) agreed that there was no overlap with the other courses and also that recommended reading was adequate and relevant. The maximum students ( $88 \%$ ) agreed that the midterm and class tests were conducted as per the schedule and was satisfactory. Further, $90 \%$ students agreed that the syllabus was completed well on time. The teacher subject knowledge, teacher communication, teacher preparedness, teacher encouragement \& teaching aids was acknowledged by the students and $89 \%$ strongly agreed with the statement.

The teacher attitude, availability, and evaluation also received $89 \%$ weight age from students. With regard to curriculum improvement various recommendations were received from students like summer training, industrial training, job trends to be taken into consideration, modern technology in laboratory, introduction of various subject related software's, revision of some portions of course content and smart room provision in some departments.

Also, some suggestions were suggested for the teachers such as communication during lectures in both Hindi \& English, practical knowledge to be made part of the syllabi, monotous teaching methods to be avoided, live projects made part of the course, too much theoretical knowledge in some subjects should be replaced and more academic plus extra-curricular events organized for students for holistic development of the students.

## Analysis of Feedback by Employers

Comprehensive feedbacks by Employers are important tools to critically evaluate the teaching process from Employer's angle. And, they truly contribute to the overall spirit of teaching in an
educational institution. As, it promotes the overall spirit of teaching and learning.

The twenty three factors/Questions constitute each questionnaire and their analysis is as follows:

1. Curriculum Relevant for Employability

| Curriculum Relevant for Employability |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
|  | Excellent | 83 | 83 | 83.0 | 83.0 |
|  | Very Good | 17 | 17 | 17.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |


2. Current Syllabus is need based

| Current syllabus is need based |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative |
| :---: |
| Percent |


| Valid | Excellent | 80 | 80 | 80.0 | 80.0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Very Good | 19 | 19 | 19.0 | 99.0 |
|  | Good | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## Current syllabus is need based


3. Effectiveness of curriculum

| Effectiveness of curriculum |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 78 | 78 | 78.0 | 78.0 |
|  | Very Good | 21 | 21 | 21.0 | 99.0 |
|  | Good | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Effectiveness of curriculum

4. Faculty

| Faculty |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 82 | 82 | 82.0 | 82.0 |
|  | Very Good | 18 | 18 | 18.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## Faculty


5. Infrastructure

| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Excequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 78 | 78 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very Good | 22 | 22 | 78.0 | 78.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |  |

Infrastructure

6. Library

| Library |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Excellent | 80 | 80 | 80.0 | 80.0 |  |
|  | Very Good | 20 | 20 | 20.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Library


7. Lab \& ICT Facilities

| Lab \& ICT Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Excequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 84.0 | 84.0 |  |
|  | Very Good | 84 | 84 | 84.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 16 | 16 | 16.0 |  |  |

## Lab \& ICT Facilities



## 8. Environment

| Environment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Excequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 82.0 | 82.0 |  |
|  | Very Good | 82 | 82 | 82.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 18 | 18 | 18.0 |  |  |

## Environment


9. Experience with the college faculty and staff

| Experience with the college faculty and staff |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
|  | Excequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 75 | 75 |
|  | Very Good | 25 | 25 | 75.0 | 75.0 |
|  | Vent | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total |  |  | 100.0 |  |

Experience with the college faculty and staff


Experience with the college faculty and staff
10. Hospitality given by the college

| Hospitality given by the college |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Excellent | 76 | 76 | 76.0 | 76.0 |  |
|  | Very Good | 24 | 24 | 24.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Hospitality given by the college



Hospitality given by the college

## 11. General communication skills

| General communication skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Exequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 74.0 |  |  |
|  | Very Good | 74 | 74 | 74.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 26 | 26 | 26.0 |  |  |

## General communication skills


12. Honesty

| Honesty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Excellent | 79 | 79 | 79.0 | 79.0 |  |
|  | Very Good | 21 | 21 | 21.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Honesty


13. Attitude

| Attitude |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Excellent | 76 | 76 | 76.0 | 76.0 |  |
|  | Very Good | 24 | 24 | 24.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Attitude


14. Developing practical solutions to work place problems, using technology \& workplace equipment

| Developing practical solutions to work place problems, using technology \& workplace equipment |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 76 | 76 | 76.0 | 76.0 |
|  | Very Good | 24 | 24 | 24.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |

Developing practical solutions to work place problems, using technology \& workplace equipment


Developing practical solutions to work place problems, using technology \& workplace equipment
15. Working as a part of team

| Working as a part of team |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Excellent | 79 | 79 | 79.0 | 79.0 |  |
|  | Very Good | 21 | 21 | 21.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Working as a part of team


16. Self motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility

| Self motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
|  | Excellent | 84 | 84 | 84.0 | 84.0 |
|  | Very Good | 16 | 16 | 16.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |

Self motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility

17. Innovativeness and creativity

| Innovativeness and creativity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Excellent | 81 | 81 | 81.0 | 81.0 |  |
|  | Very Good | 19 | 19 | 19.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Innovativeness and creativity


18. Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization

| Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
|  | Exequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Pent | 83 |
|  | Very Good | 17 | 83 | 83.0 | 17 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 17.0 | 100.0 |

Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization


Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization
19. Technical knowledge/skill

| Technical knowledge/skill |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Excequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 92.0 |  |  |
|  | Very Good | 92 | 92 | 92.0 | 92.0 |  |
|  | Total | 8 | 8 | 8.0 | 100.0 |  |

## Technical knowledge/skill


20. Ability to manage/ leadership qualities

| Ability to manage/ leadership qualities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
|  | Excequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 82 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very Good | 18 | 18 | 82.0 | 82.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |

Ability to manage/ leadership qualities


Ability to manage/ leadership qualities
21. Relationship with peers/subordinates

| Relationship with peers/subordinates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
|  | Excellent | 82 | 82 | 82.0 | 82.0 |
|  | Very Good | 18 | 18 | 18.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |

Relationship with peers/subordinates


Relationship with peers/subordinates
22. Involvement in social activities and degree of responsibility taken

| Involvement in social activities and degree of responsibility taken |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
|  | Exequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 80.0 | Excellent |
|  | Very Good | 80 | 80 | 80.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 20 | 20 | 20.0 |  |

Involvement in social activities and degree of responsibility taken


Involvement in social activities and degree of responsibility taken
23. Overall satisfaction level of employer with Infrastructure, students and curriculum of the college

| Overall satisfaction level of employer with Infrastructure, students <br> and curriculum <br> of the college |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \begin{tabular}{l\|l|r|r|r|}
\hline
\end{tabular} | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Ten Star | 82 | 82 | 82.0 | 82.0 |
|  | Nine Star | 18 | 18 | 18.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |  |

Overall satisfaction level of employer with Infrastructure, students and curriculum of the college


## Analysis of the overall feedback

The above results of employers feedback form were calculated for the session 2016-2017 for all the departments in the college. And, a sample of 100 employers was taken into considerations which were both government and private companies. The government degree college is a very old college and each year many students get placed in government and private sectors. Though, many of the students also go for higher education in Masters and PhD Programs.

In the questionnaire feedback form 23 questions or statements were there which broadly represented three dimensions such as design and review of Syllabus, infrastructure of the college and performance level of students selected by employers.

## Design and Review of Syllabus:

In this section there were three questions i.e. Curriculum Relevant for employability; Current syllabus is need based and effectiveness of curriculum. And, nearly $99 \%$ opinion came in form of excellent and very good in all three statements, which clearly favoured the syllabus and curriculum in
the college.

## Infrastructure of the College:

With regard to infrastructure of the college, seven (7) questions or statements were asked with regard to faculty, infrastructure, library, Lab \& ICT Facilities, environment, experience with college faculty \& staff and hospitality given by the college. And, $100 \%$ opinion was in favour of the infrastructure of college, in which all options selected by employers were excellent and very good. With regard to faculty, experience with college faculty \& staff and hospitality given by the college, also good results were there. As, all employers selection of option fell in excellent and very good.

This clearly proves that government college Dharamshala has excellent infrastructure and faculty which has been responsible for the success of its students in various fields.

## Performance level of Students:

With regard to the performance level of the students, 12 questions or statements were asked. The questions were related to General communication skills, honesty, attitude, finding practical solutions, team spirit, self motivation, innovation \& creativity, contribution towards goal, technical knowledge and skills, manager and leader qualities, relationship with peers and with regard to involvement in social activities. And in all questions, the response of the employers was very positive and good. All the $100 \%$ employers gave their opinion as excellent and very good, which clearly depict a charismatic and stunning image of the students/alumni of the college.

The last question was asked to rate the college out of 10 stars for overall satisfaction level of employer with regard to infrastructure, students and curriculum of the college. And the opinion of the employers was very positive. And, nearly $100 \%$ opinion came as 10 stars and 9 stars clearly explaining the charismatic image of the college, since the British times.
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