STUDENT SATISFACTION /FEEDBACK FORM (2015-16) AND IT'S ANALYSIS

GOVERNMENT COLLEGE DHARAMSHALA STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM (2015-16)

Note:

This form is to be filled only by students having at least 65% attendance. ١.

II. Please do not write your name or roll number on the form.

III. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used only for student participation in quality enhancement.

Name of the Teacher: _____ _____ Department: _____ ____

Course Code: _____Class: _____

Course Title: ______ Course Title: ______ Semester/Year: ______

FEEDBACK

s.no.	Item	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1.	Punctuality of Teachers					
2.	Quality of Teaching					
3.	Student Facilities					
4.	Cleanliness of campus					
5.	Cleanliness of rooms					
6.	Co-curricular Activities in the college					
7.	Library facilities					
8.	Internet facility					
9.	Canteen facility					
10.	Lab Facilities in college					
11.	Sports facility in the college					
12.	Toilet Facilities in College					
13.	Water drinking facilities					
14.	Non Teaching staff Attitude					
15.	Teaching staff Attitude					
16.	Principal Attitude					
17.	Overall rating of the college					

Any other comments (if necessary) ______


Analysis of feedback of Satisfaction level of students

Comprehensive feedbacks to know the satisfaction level of the students are important tools to critically evaluate the teaching process, infrastructure and attitude from student's angle. And, they truly contribute to the overall spirit of teaching in an educational institution. As, it promotes the overall spirit of teaching and learning.

The seventeen factors/Questions constitute each questionnaire and their analysis is as follows:

	Punctuality of Teachers									
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent					
Valid	Excellent	31	31.0	31.0	31.0					
	Very Good	21	21.0	21.0	52.0					
	Good	27	27.0	27.0	79.0					
	Average	8	8.0	8.0	87.0					
	Poor	13	13.0	13.0	100.0					
	Total	100	100.0	100.0						

1. Punctuality of Teachers




Punctuality of Teachers

2. Quality of Teaching


	Quality of Teaching									
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent					
Valid	Excellent	50	50.0	50.0	50.0					
	Very Good	27	27.0	27.0	77.0					
	Good	12	12.0	12.0	89.0					
	Average	8	8.0	8.0	97.0					
	Poor	3	3.0	3.0	100.0					
	Total	100	100.0	100.0						

Quality of Teaching



3. Student Facilities

	Student Facilities									
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent					
Valid	Excellent	40	40.0	40.0	40.0					
	Very Good	28	28.0	28.0	68.0					
	Good	21	21.0	21.0	89.0					
	Average	8	8.0	8.0	97.0					
	Poor	3	3.0	3.0	100.0					
	Total	100	100.0	100.0						






4. Cleanliness of Campus

	Cleanliness of Campus									
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent					
Valid	Excellent	29	29.0	29.0	29.0					
	Very Good	38	38.0	38.0	67.0					
	Good	16	16.0	16.0	83.0					
	Average	14	14.0	14.0	97.0					
	Poor	3	3.0	3.0	100.0					
	Total	100	100.0	100.0						


Cleanliness of Campus



5. Cleanliness of Rooms

	Cleanliness of Rooms									
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent					
Valid	Excellent	27	27.0	27.0	27.0					
	Very Good	28	28.0	28.0	55.0					
	Good	20	20.0	20.0	75.0					
	Average	13	13.0	13.0	88.0					
	Poor	12	12.0	12.0	100.0					
	Total	100	100.0	100.0						


Cleanliness of Rooms



6. Co-Curricular Activities in the College

	Co-Curricular Activities in the college									
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent					
Valid	Excellent	27	27.0	27.0	27.0					
	Very Good	34	34.0	34.0	61.0					
	Good	19	19.0	19.0	80.0					
	Average	11	11.0	11.0	91.0					
	Poor	9	9.0	9.0	100.0					
	Total	100	100.0	100.0						


co-curricular Activities in the college



7. Library Facilities

	Library Facilities									
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent					
Valid	Excellent	25	25.0	25.0	25.0					
	Very Good	17	17.0	17.0	42.0					
	Good	23	23.0	23.0	65.0					
	Average	21	21.0	21.0	86.0					
	Poor	14	14.0	14.0	100.0					
	Total	100	100.0	100.0						


Library Facilities



8. Internet Facility

	Internet Facility										
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent						
Valid	Excellent	26	26.0	26.0	26.0						
	Very Good	32	32.0	32.0	58.0						
	Good	21	21.0	21.0	79.0						
	Average	15	15.0	15.0	94.0						
	Poor	6	6.0	6.0	100.0						
	Total	100	100.0	100.0							






9. Canteen Facility

	Canteen Facility										
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent						
Valid	Excellent	36	36.0	36.0	36.0						
	Very Good	16	16.0	16.0	52.0						
	Good	17	17.0	17.0	69.0						
	Average	19	19.0	19.0	88.0						
	Poor	12	12.0	12.0	100.0						
	Total	100	100.0	100.0							






10. Lab Facilities in college

	Lab Facilities in college									
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent					
Valid	Excellent	15	15.0	15.0	15.0					
	Very Good	30	30.0	30.0	45.0					
	Good	27	27.0	27.0	72.0					
	Average	12	12.0	12.0	84.0					
	Poor	16	16.0	16.0	100.0					
	Total	100	100.0	100.0						


Lab Facilities in college



11. Sports Facilities in College

	Sports Facilities in College									
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent					
Valid	Excellent	28	28.0	28.0	28.0					
	Very Good	29	29.0	29.0	57.0					
	Good	25	25.0	25.0	82.0					
	Average	12	12.0	12.0	94.0					
	Poor	6	6.0	6.0	100.0					
	Total	100	100.0	100.0						


Sports Facilities in College



12. Toilet Facilities in College

Toilet Facilities in College						
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
Valid	Excellent	14	14.0	14.0	14.0	
	Very Good	22	22.0	22.0	36.0	
	Good	34	34.0	34.0	70.0	
	Average	23	23.0	23.0	93.0	
	Poor	7	7.0	7.0	100.0	
	Total	100	100.0	100.0		


Toilet Facilities in College



13. Water Drinking Facilities

Water Drinking Facilities						
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
Valid	Excellent	17	17.0	17.0	17.0	
	Very Good	29	29.0	29.0	46.0	
	Good	28	28.0	28.0	74.0	
	Average	19	19.0	19.0	93.0	
	Poor	7	7.0	7.0	100.0	
	Total	100	100.0	100.0		


Water Drinking Facilities



14. Non Teaching staff Attitude

Non Teaching staff Attitude						
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
Valid	Excellent	27	27.0	27.0	27.0	
	Very Good	18	18.0	18.0	45.0	
	Good	21	21.0	21.0	66.0	
	Average	26	26.0	26.0	92.0	
	Poor	8	8.0	8.0	100.0	
	Total	100	100.0	100.0		


Non Teaching staff Attitude



15. Teaching staff Attitude

Teaching staff Attitude						
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
Valid	Excellent	26	26.0	26.0	26.0	
	Very Good	27	27.0	27.0	53.0	
	Good	25	25.0	25.0	78.0	
	Average	17	17.0	17.0	95.0	
	Poor	5	5.0	5.0	100.0	
	Total	100	100.0	100.0		


Teaching staff Attitude



16. Principal Attitude

	Principal Attitude						
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent		
Valid	Excellent	11	11.0	11.0	11.0		
	Very Good	28	28.0	28.0	39.0		
	Good	26	26.0	26.0	65.0		
	Average	24	24.0	24.0	89.0		
	Poor	11	11.0	11.0	100.0		
	Total	100	100.0	100.0			


Principal Attitude



17. Overall Rating of the College

	Overall Rating of the College						
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent		
Valid	Excellent	13	13.0	13.0	13.0		
	Very Good	25	25.0	25.0	38.0		
	Good	40	40.0	40.0	78.0		
	Average	13	13.0	13.0	91.0		
	Poor	9	9.0	9.0	100.0		
	Total	100	100.0	100.0			

Overall Rating of the College



Evaluation of the Student's Satisfaction Survey

The above results of Student Satisfaction Questionnaire were calculated for the session 2015-2016 for all the departments in the college. And, the sample of 100 students randomly analyzed from the 1000 feedback forms filled in the college. The criterion taken for choosing the students was that those students were selected, whose attendance was above 75% and who appeared for mid-term examinations. From the 1000 feedback, only 100 questionnaires randomly were selected (every 10th form). This methodology was adopted, so that the tool could not be used as a tool of fault finding of various stakeholders employed in the college. And, the emphasis was given to improve the overall satisfaction level of students by quality teaching, appropriate conduction of classes on time and better facilities in terms of library, lab facilities, sports facilities, toilets, Internet, water drinking etc. And, to see that the attitude of teaching and non-teaching was appropriate and conducive to provide quality education to the students.

If we saw the overall satisfaction level of the students with regard to infrastructure and behavior of staff, nearly 78% student's responses fell in excellent, very good and good, for the overall rating of the college indicating that the college was doing a good job with the students. Also, the maximum responses of the student's w.r.t attitude of officials {Teaching (78%), Non-Teaching (66%) including Principal (65%)} of the college fell in excellent, very good and good indicating a positive attitude reflection. The majority of student's responses w.r.t punctuality of Teachers (79%) and quality of teaching (89%) fell in excellent, very good and good highlighting the high academic standard laid by the teachers. With regards to student facilities, the responses of 89% student fell in excellent, very good and good indicating that college was doing well in terms of amenities and conveniences in the college. Also, the overall rating of students (nearly 83%) was that the cleanliness in institution was good indicating that good hygiene was maintained in the classrooms. The maximum students (nearly 82%) responses fell in excellent, very good and good indicating good sports infrastructure in the college. Also, 70% students responses in the survey fell in excellent, very good and good indicating good and good hygiene within the college in Co-Curricular Activities.

With regards to infrastructure in college, maximum student's opinion for Library Facilities (65%), Internet Facility (79%), Canteen Facility (69%), Lab Facilities in college (72%), Toilet Facilities in College (70%) and Water Drinking Facilities (74%) fell in excellent, very good and good. Though surely the responses of students' w.r.t their satisfaction from the college infrastructure indicated some minor improvements in the infrastructure.