## GOVERNMENT COLLEGE DHARAMSHALA <br> STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM (2019-20)

Note:
I. This form is to be filled only by students having at least $65 \%$ attendance.
II. Please do not write your name or roll number on the form.
III. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used only for student participation in quality enhancement.


Any other comments (if necessary) $\qquad$


Phnctpel
Dnaremshala (H.P.)

## Analysis of feedback of Satisfaction level of students

Comprehensive feedbacks to know the satisfaction level of the students are important tools to critically evaluate the teaching process, infrastructure and attitude from student's angle. And, they truly contribute to the overall spirit of teaching in an educational institution. As, it promotes the overall spirit of teaching and learning.

The seventeen factors/Questions constitute each questionnaire and their analysis is as follows:

## 1. Punctuality of Teachers

| Punctuality of Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 23 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
|  | Very Good | 24 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 47.0 |
|  | Good | 23 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 70.0 |
|  | Average | 14 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 84.0 |
|  | Poor | 16 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

2. Quality of Teaching

| Quality of Teaching |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 38 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 |
|  | Very Good | 18 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 56.0 |
|  | Good | 21 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 77.0 |
|  | Average | 15 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 92.0 |
|  | Poor | 8 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |



## Phncipen

| Student Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 20 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
|  | Very Good | 20 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 |
|  | Good | 23 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 63.0 |
|  | Average | 25 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 88.0 |
|  | Poor | 12 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Student Facilities


| Cleanliness of Campus |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 26 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
|  | Very Good | 27 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 53.0 |
|  | Good | 9 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 62.0 |
|  | Average | 31 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 93.0 |
|  | Poor | 7 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Cleanliness of Campus


| Cleanliness of Class Rooms |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 23 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
|  | Very Good | 16 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 39.0 |
|  | Good | 12 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 51.0 |
|  | Average | 32 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 83.0 |
|  | Poor | 17 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Cleanliness of Class Rooms

6. Co-curricular Activities in the college

| Co-curricular Activities in the college |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 30 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
|  | Very Good | 20 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 |
|  | Good | 21 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 71.0 |
|  | Average | 13 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 84.0 |
|  | Poor | 16 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

co-curricular Activities in the college


| Library Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 22 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |
|  | Very Good | 16 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 38.0 |
|  | Good | 27 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 65.0 |
|  | Average | 22 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 87.0 |
|  | Poor | 13 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## Library Facilities


8. Internet Facility

| Internet Facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 10 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
|  | Very Good | 12 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 22.0 |
|  | Good | 28 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 50.0 |
|  | Average | 29 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 79.0 |
|  | Poor | 21 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Internet Facility


## Phncipal

## 9. Canteen Facility

| Canteen Facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 21 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |
|  | Very Good | 3 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 24.0 |
|  | Good | 20 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 44.0 |
|  | Average | 39 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 83.0 |
|  | Poor | 17 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |



| Lab Facilities in college |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 8 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
|  | Very Good | 19 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 27.0 |
|  | Good | 19 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 46.0 |
|  | Average | 19 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 65.0 |
|  | Poor | 35 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## Lab Facilities in college



## 11. Sports Facilities in College

| Sports Facilities in College |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 18 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
|  | Very Good | 22 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 40.0 |
|  | Good | 34 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 74.0 |
|  | Average | 12 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 86.0 |
|  | Poor | 14 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |



## Phncipal

| Toilet Facilities in College |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 8 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
|  | Very Good | 14 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 22.0 |
|  | Good | 16 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 38.0 |
|  | Average | 28 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 66.0 |
|  | Poor | 34 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Toilet Facilities in College


| Water Drinking Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 7 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
|  | Very Good | 16 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 23.0 |
|  | Good | 24 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 47.0 |
|  | Average | 34 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 81.0 |
|  | Poor | 19 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Water Drinking Facilities


## Phnctpan

| Non Teaching staff Attitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 24 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
|  | Very Good | 18 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 42.0 |
|  | Good | 25 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 67.0 |
|  | Average | 27 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 94.0 |
|  | Poor | 6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |



## Phncipal

15. Teaching staff Attitude

| Teaching staff Attitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 31 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
|  | Very Good | 20 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 51.0 |
|  | Good | 33 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 84.0 |
|  | Average | 12 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 96.0 |
|  | Poor | 4 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## Teaching staff Attitude



## Phncipal

16. Principal Attitude

| Principal Attitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 10 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
|  | Very Good | 22 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 32.0 |
|  | Good | 32 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 64.0 |
|  | Average | 17 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 81.0 |
|  | Poor | 19 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


17. Overall Rating of the College

| Overall Rating of the College |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Excellent | 13 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
|  | Very Good | 19 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 32.0 |
|  | Good | 23 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 55.0 |
|  | Average | 31 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 86.0 |
|  | Poor | 14 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |



## Evaluation of the Student's Satisfaction Survey

The above results of Student Satisfaction Questionnaire were calculated for the session 2019-2020 for all the departments in the college. And, the sample of 100 students randomly analyzed from the 1000 feedback forms filled in the college. The criterion taken for choosing the students was that those students were selected, whose attendance was above $75 \%$ and who appeared for mid-term examinations. From the 1000 feedback, only 100 questionnaires randomly were selected (every $10^{\text {th }}$ form). This methodology was adopted, so that the tool could not be used as a tool of fault finding of various stakeholders employed in the college. Rather, the emphasis was given to improve the overall satisfaction level of students by quality teaching, appropriate conduction of classes on time and better facilities in terms of library, lab facilities, sports facilities, toilets, Internet, water drinking etc. And, to see that the attitude of teaching and non-teaching was appropriate and conducive to provide quality education to the students.

If we saw the overall satisfaction level of the students with regard to infrastructure and behavior of staff, nearly $55 \%$ student's responses fell in excellent, very good and good, for the overall rating of the college indicating that the college was doing a good job with the students. Also, the maximum responses of the student's w.r.t attitude of officials \{Teaching ( $84 \%$ ), Non-Teaching ( $67 \%$ ) including Principal ( $64 \%$ ) \} of the college fell in excellent, very good and good indicating a positive attitude reflection. The majority of student's responses w.r.t punctuality of Teachers ( $77 \%$ ) and quality of teaching ( $79 \%$ ) fell in excellent, very good and good highlighting the high academic standard laid by the teachers. With regards to student facilities, the responses of $62 \%$ student fell in excellent, very good and good indicating that college was doing well in terms of amenities and conveniences in the college. Also, the majority of students (nearly $62 \%$ ) agreed that the cleanliness in institution was good. Though w.r.t cleanliness of rooms nearly $51 \%$ student's responses fell in excellent, very good and good indicating that good hygiene was maintained in the classrooms. The maximum students (nearly $74 \%$ ) responses fell in excellent, very good and good indicating good sports infrastructure in the college. Also, $71 \%$ students responses in the survey w.r.t Co-curricular activities fell in excellent, very good and good indicating good all around development of students within the college in non -academic pursuits.

With regards to infrastructure in college, maximum student's opinion for Library Facilities (65\%), Internet Facility (50\%), Canteen Facility ( $44 \%$ ), Lab Facilities in college (46\%), Toilet Facilities in College (38\%) and Water Drinking Facilities ( $47 \%$ ) fell in excellent, very good and good. Though surely the responses of students w.r.t their satisfaction from the college infrastructure indicated some major improvements in terms of infrastructure i.e. Internet Facility, Canteen Facility, Lab Facilities, Toilet Facilities and Water Drinking Facilities.


