STUDENT SATISFACTION /FEEDBACK FORM (2016-17) AND IT'S ANALYSIS

GOVERNMENT COLLEGE DHARAMSHALA STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM (2016-17)

Note:

- I. This form is to be filled only by students having at least 65% attendance.
- II. Please do not write your name or roll number on the form.
- III. The information provided by you will be kept confidential and will be used only for student participation in quality enhancement.

Name of the Teacher:	Department:
Course Code:	_Class:
Course Title:	_Semester/Year:

FEEDBACK

s.no.	Item	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1.	Punctuality of Teachers					
2.	Quality of Teaching					
3.	Student Facilities					
4.	Cleanliness of campus					
5.	Cleanliness of rooms					
6.	Co-curricular Activities in the college					
7.	Library facilities					
8.	Internet facility					
9.	Canteen facility					
10.	Lab Facilities in college					

11.	Sports facility in the			
	college			
12.	Toilet Facilities in College			
13.	Water drinking facilities			
14.	Non Teaching staff			
	Attitude			
15.	Teaching staff Attitude			
16.	Principal Attitude			
17.	Overall rating of the			
	college			

Any other comments (if necess	ary)
-------------------------------	------


Analysis of feedback of Satisfaction level of students


Comprehensive feedbacks to know the satisfaction level of the students are important tools to critically evaluate the teaching process, infrastructure and attitude from student's angle. And, they truly contribute to the overall spirit of teaching in an educational institution. As, it promotes the overall spirit of teaching and learning.

The seventeen factors/Questions constitute each questionnaire and their analysis is as follows:

1. Punctuality of Teachers

	Punctuality of Teachers							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent			
Valid	Excellent	31	31.0	31.0	31.0			
	Very Good	25	25.0	25.0	56.0			
	Good	16	16.0	16.0	72.0			
	Average	14	14.0	14.0	86.0			
	Poor	14	14.0	14.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				






2. Quality of Teaching

	Quality of Teaching							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent			
Valid	Excellent	58	58.0	58.0	58.0			
	Very Good	22	22.0	22.0	80.0			
	Good	8	8.0	8.0	88.0			
	Average	8	8.0	8.0	96.0			
	Poor	4	4.0	4.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


Quality of Teaching



3. Student Facilities

	Student Facilities							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative			
					Percent			
Valid	Excellent	30	30.0	30.0	30.0			
	Very Good	28	28.0	28.0	58.0			
	Good	22	22.0	22.0	80.0			
	Average	12	12.0	12.0	92.0			
	Poor	8	8.0	8.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


Student Facilities



4. Cleanliness of Campus

	Cleanliness of Campus							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative			
					Percent			
Valid	Excellent	41	41.0	41.0	41.0			
	Very Good	27	27.0	27.0	68.0			
	Good	11	11.0	11.0	79.0			
	Average	15	15.0	15.0	94.0			
	Poor	6	6.0	6.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


Cleanliness of Campus



5. Cleanliness of Class-Rooms

	Cleanliness of Class-Rooms							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative			
					Percent			
Valid	Excellent	32	32.0	32.0	32.0			
	Very Good	17	17.0	17.0	49.0			
	Good	15	15.0	15.0	64.0			
	Average	19	19.0	19.0	83.0			
	Poor	17	17.0	17.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


Cleanliness of Rooms



6. Co-curricular Activities in the college

	co-curricular Activities in the college							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent			
Valid	Excellent	39	39.0	39.0	39.0			
	Very Good	19	19.0	19.0	58.0			
	Good	12	12.0	12.0	70.0			
	Average	15	15.0	15.0	85.0			
	Poor	15	15.0	15.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


co-curricular Activities in the college



7. Library Facilities

	Library Facilities							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative			
					Percent			
Valid	Excellent	28	28.0	28.0	28.0			
	Very Good	13	13.0	13.0	41.0			
	Good	16	16.0	16.0	57.0			
	Average	24	24.0	24.0	81.0			
	Poor	19	19.0	19.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				






8. Internet Facility

	Internet Facility							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative			
					Percent			
Valid	Excellent	27	27.0	27.0	27.0			
	Very Good	15	15.0	15.0	42.0			
	Good	21	21.0	21.0	63.0			
	Average	21	21.0	21.0	84.0			
	Poor	16	16.0	16.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


Internet Facility



9. Canteen Facility

	Canteen Facility							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative			
					Percent			
Valid	Excellent	43	43.0	43.0	43.0			
	Very Good	6	6.0	6.0	49.0			
	Good	13	13.0	13.0	62.0			
	Average	21	21.0	21.0	83.0			
	Poor	17	17.0	17.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


canteen Facility



10. Lab Facilities in college

	Lab Facilities in college							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative			
					Percent			
Valid	Excellent	19	19.0	19.0	19.0			
	Very Good	26	26.0	26.0	45.0			
	Good	17	17.0	17.0	62.0			
	Average	16	16.0	16.0	78.0			
	Poor	22	22.0	22.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


Lab Facilities in college



11. Sports Facilities in College

	Sports Facilities in College							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative			
					Percent			
Valid	Excellent	30	30.0	30.0	30.0			
	Very Good	31	31.0	31.0	61.0			
	Good	17	17.0	17.0	78.0			
	Average	14	14.0	14.0	92.0			
	Poor	8	8.0	8.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


Sports Facilities in College



12. Toilet Facilities in College

	Toilet Facilities in College							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative			
					Percent			
Valid	Excellent	14	14.0	14.0	14.0			
	Very Good	26	26.0	26.0	40.0			
	Good	24	24.0	24.0	64.0			
	Average	26	26.0	26.0	90.0			
	Poor	10	10.0	10.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


Toilet Facilities in College



13. Water Drinking Facilities

	Water Drinking Facilities							
	Frequency Percent Valid Percent Cumulative							
					Percent			
Valid	Excellent	17	17.0	17.0	17.0			
	Very Good	24	24.0	24.0	41.0			
	Good	28	28.0	28.0	69.0			
	Average	22	22.0	22.0	91.0			
	Poor	9	9.0	9.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


Water Drinking Facilities



14. Non Teaching staff Attitude

	Non Teaching staff Attitude							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative			
					Percent			
Valid	Excellent	33	33.0	33.0	33.0			
	Very Good	20	20.0	20.0	53.0			
	Good	21	21.0	21.0	74.0			
	Average	20	20.0	20.0	94.0			
	Poor	6	6.0	6.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


Non Teaching staff Attitude



15. Teaching staff Attitude

	Teaching staff Attitude							
	Frequency Percent Valid Percent Cumulativ							
					Percent			
Valid	Excellent	31	31.0	31.0	31.0			
	Very Good	29	29.0	29.0	60.0			
	Good	23	23.0	23.0	83.0			
	Average	13	13.0	13.0	96.0			
	Poor	4	4.0	4.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


Teaching staff Attitude



16. Principal Attitude

	Principal Attitude							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative			
					Percent			
Valid	Excellent	12	12.0	12.0	12.0			
	Very Good	26	26.0	26.0	38.0			
	Good	26	26.0	26.0	64.0			
	Average	24	24.0	24.0	88.0			
	Poor	12	12.0	12.0	100.0			
	Total	100	100.0	100.0				


Principal Attitude



17. Overall Rating of the College

	Overall Rating of the College						
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative		
					Percent		
Valid	Excellent	23	23.0	23.0	23.0		
	Very Good	29	29.0	29.0	52.0		
	Good	24	24.0	24.0	76.0		
	Average	12	12.0	12.0	88.0		
	Poor	12	12.0	12.0	100.0		
	Total	100	100.0	100.0			

Overall Rating of the College



Evaluation of the Student's Satisfaction Survey

The above results of Student Satisfaction Questionnaire were calculated for the session 2016-2017 for all the departments in the college. And, the sample of 100 students randomly analyzed from the 1000 feedback forms filled in the college. The criterion taken for choosing the students was that those students were selected,

whose attendance was above 75% and who appeared for mid-term examinations. From the 1000 feedback, only 100 questionnaires randomly were selected (every 10th form). This methodology was adopted, so that the tool could not be used as a tool of fault finding of various stakeholders employed in the college. Rather, the emphasis was given to improve the overall satisfaction level of students by quality teaching, appropriate conduction of classes on time and better facilities in terms of library, lab facilities, sports facilities, toilets, Internet, water drinking etc. And, to see that the attitude of teaching and non-teaching was appropriate and conducive to provide quality education to the students.

If we saw the overall satisfaction level of the students with regard to infrastructure and behavior of staff, nearly 76% student's responses fell in excellent, very good and good, for the overall rating of the college indicating that the college was doing a good job with the students. Also, the maximum responses of the student's w.r.t attitude of officials {Teaching (73%), Non-Teaching (74%) including Principal (64%)} of the college fell in excellent, very good and good indicating a positive attitude reflection. The majority of student's responses w.r.t punctuality of Teachers (72%) and quality of teaching (88%) fell in excellent, very good and good highlighting the high academic standard laid by the teachers. With regards to student facilities, the responses of 80% student fell in excellent, very good and good indicating that college was doing well in terms of amenities and conveniences in the college. Also, the overall rating of students (nearly 89%) was that the cleanliness in institution was good. Though w.r.t cleanliness of rooms nearly 64% student's responses fell in excellent, very good and good indicating that good hygiene was maintained in the classrooms. The maximum students (nearly 78%) responses fell in excellent, very good and good indicating good sports infrastructure in the college. Also, 70% students responses in the survey fell in excellent, very good and good indicating good all around development of students within the college in non—academic pursuits.

With regards to infrastructure in college, maximum student's opinion for Library Facilities (57%), Internet Facility (63%), Canteen Facility (62%), Lab Facilities in college (62%), Toilet Facilities in College (64%) and Water Drinking Facilities (69%) fell in excellent, very good and good. Though surely the responses of students w.r.t their satisfaction from the college infrastructure indicated some mild improvements in the infrastructure.

Principal

Govt. P.G. College

Q aumob

Dharamshala, (Distt. Kangra)

(H.P)