

Analysis of feedback of Satisfaction level of students


Comprehensive feedbacks to know the satisfaction level of the students are important tools to critically evaluate the teaching process, infrastructure and attitude from student's angle. And, they truly contribute to the overall spirit of teaching in an educational institution. As, it promotes the overall spirit of teaching and learning.

The seventeen factors/Questions constitute each questionnaire and their analysis is as follows:

1. Punctuality of Teachers

Punctuality of Teachers					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	30	30.0	30.0	30.0
	Very Good	26	26.0	26.0	56.0
	Good	15	15.0	15.0	71.0
	Average	15	15.0	15.0	86.0
	Poor	14	14.0	14.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	


Punctuality of Teachers



2. Quality of Teaching


Quality of Teaching					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	53	53.0	53.0	53.0
	Very Good	21	21.0	21.0	74.0
	Good	14	14.0	14.0	88.0
	Average	8	8.0	8.0	96.0
	Poor	4	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Quality of Teaching



3. Student Facilities


Student Facilities					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	26	26.0	26.0	26.0
	Very Good	27	27.0	27.0	53.0
	Good	28	28.0	28.0	81.0
	Average	12	12.0	12.0	93.0
	Poor	7	7.0	7.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	



4. Cleanliness of Campus

Cleanliness of Campus					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	37	37.0	37.0	37.0
	Very Good	22	22.0	22.0	59.0
	Good	17	17.0	17.0	76.0
	Average	15	15.0	15.0	91.0
	Poor	9	9.0	9.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Cleanliness of Campus




5. Cleanliness of Rooms

Cleanliness of Rooms					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	26	26.0	26.0	26.0
	Very Good	18	18.0	18.0	44.0
	Good	16	16.0	16.0	60.0

Average	26	26.0	26.0	86.0
Poor	14	14.0	14.0	100.0
Total	100	100.0	100.0	


Cleanliness of Rooms



6. Co-curricular Activities in the college


co-curricular Activities in the college					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	32	32.0	32.0	32.0
	Very Good	19	19.0	19.0	51.0
	Good	15	15.0	15.0	66.0
	Average	19	19.0	19.0	85.0
	Poor	15	15.0	15.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

co-curricular Activities in the college




7. Library Facilities

Library Facilities					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	23	23.0	23.0	23.0
	Very Good	14	14.0	14.0	37.0
	Good	19	19.0	19.0	56.0
	Average	28	28.0	28.0	84.0
	Poor	16	16.0	16.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	




8. Internet Facility

Internet Facility					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	23	23.0	23.0	23.0
	Very Good	13	13.0	13.0	36.0
	Good	24	24.0	24.0	60.0
	Average	26	26.0	26.0	86.0
	Poor	14	14.0	14.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	



9. Canteen Facility


Canteen Facility					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	34	34.0	34.0	34.0
	Very Good	8	8.0	8.0	42.0
	Good	20	20.0	20.0	62.0
	Average	25	25.0	25.0	87.0
	Poor	13	13.0	13.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	



10. Lab Facilities in college

Lab Facilities in college					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	18	18.0	18.0	18.0
	Very Good	24	24.0	24.0	42.0
	Good	20	20.0	20.0	62.0
	Average	19	19.0	19.0	81.0
	Poor	19	19.0	19.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	


Lab Facilities in college



11. Sports Facilities in College

Sports Facilities in College					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	29	29.0	29.0	29.0
	Very Good	29	29.0	29.0	58.0
	Good	18	18.0	18.0	76.0
	Average	16	16.0	16.0	92.0
	Poor	8	8.0	8.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Sports Facilities in College




12. Toilet Facilities in College

Toilet Facilities in College					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	12	12.0	12.0	12.0
	Very Good	25	25.0	25.0	37.0

	Good	24	24.0	24.0	61.0
	Average	29	29.0	29.0	90.0
	Poor	10	10.0	10.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	


Toilet Facilities in College



13. Water Drinking Facilities

Water Drinking Facilities					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	13	13.0	13.0	13.0
	Very Good	26	26.0	26.0	39.0
	Good	28	28.0	28.0	67.0
	Average	24	24.0	24.0	91.0
	Poor	9	9.0	9.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	


Water Drinking Facilities



14. Non Teaching staff Attitude

Non Teaching staff Attitude					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	30	30.0	30.0	30.0
	Very Good	22	22.0	22.0	52.0
	Good	22	22.0	22.0	74.0
	Average	20	20.0	20.0	94.0
	Poor	6	6.0	6.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	


Non Teaching staff Attitude



15. Teaching Staff Attitude


Teaching staff Attitude					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	29	29.0	29.0	29.0
	Very Good	30	30.0	30.0	59.0
	Good	23	23.0	23.0	82.0
	Average	14	14.0	14.0	96.0
	Poor	4	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Teaching staff Attitude



16. Principal Attitude


Principal Attitude					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	12	12.0	12.0	12.0
	Very Good	26	26.0	26.0	38.0
	Good	26	26.0	26.0	64.0
	Average	24	24.0	24.0	88.0
	Poor	12	12.0	12.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	



17. Overall Rating of the College

Overall Rating of the College					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	22	22.0	22.0	22.0
	Very Good	30	30.0	30.0	52.0
	Good	24	24.0	24.0	76.0
	Average	12	12.0	12.0	88.0
	Poor	12	12.0	12.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Overall Rating of the College



Evaluation of the Student's Satisfaction Survey

The above results of Student Satisfaction Questionnaire were calculated for the session 2014-2015 for all the departments in the college. And, the sample of 100 students randomly analyzed from the 1000 feedback forms filled in the college. The criterion taken for choosing the students was that those students were selected, whose attendance was above 75% and who appeared for mid-term examinations. From the 1000 feedback, only 100 questionnaires randomly were selected (every 10th form). This methodology was adopted, so that the tool could not be used as a tool of fault finding of various stakeholders employed in the college. And, the emphasis was given to improve the overall satisfaction level of students by quality teaching, appropriate conduction of classes on time and better facilities in terms of library, lab facilities, sports facilities, toilets, Internet, water drinking etc. And, to see that the attitude of teaching and non-teaching was appropriate and conducive to provide quality education to the students.

If we saw the overall satisfaction level of the students with regard to infrastructure and behavior of staff, nearly 76% student's responses fell in excellent, very good and good, for the overall rating of the college indicating that the college was doing a good job with the students. Also, the maximum responses of the student's w.r.t attitude of officials {Teaching (82%), Non-Teaching (74%) including Principal (64%)} of the college fell in excellent, very good and good indicating a positive attitude reflection. The majority of student's responses w.r.t punctuality of Teachers (71%) and quality of teaching (88%) fell in excellent, very good and good highlighting the high academic standard laid by the teachers. With regards to student facilities, the responses of 81% student fell in excellent, very good and good indicating that college was doing well in terms of amenities and conveniences in the college. Also, the overall rating of students (nearly 76%) was that the cleanliness in institution was good. Though w.r.t cleanliness of rooms nearly 60% student's responses fell in excellent, very good and good indicating that good hygiene was maintained in the classrooms. The maximum students (nearly 76%) responses fell in excellent, very good and good indicating good sports infrastructure in the college. Also, 66% students responses in the survey fell in excellent, very good and good indicating good all around development of students within the college in Co-Curricular Activities.

With regards to infrastructure in college, maximum student's opinion for Library Facilities (56%), Internet Facility (60%), Canteen Facility (62%), Lab Facilities in college (62%), Toilet Facilities in

College (61%) and Water Drinking Facilities (67%) fell in excellent, very good and good. Though surely the responses of students' w.r.t their satisfaction from the college infrastructure indicated some minor improvements in the infrastructure.